

Have I
 heard of
 Jim W.?

What about
 this?

SIECUS Calls CWA Extremists!

by

Judith Reisman, Ph.D.

The Institute for Media Education

"ex-trem-ist... One who advocates or resorts to measures beyond the norm..."

The Sex Information and Education Council of the US, (SIECUS), in its April 1996 "Dear SIECUS Friend" letter, is enraged by "the extremist organization, Concerned Women for America" and appalled that CWA feels SIECUS promotes "incest and pedophilia." Their "Dear Friend" letter expressed moral outrage that CWA would desire to "protect our children from SIECUS' influence." Why would CWA moms and grandmoms object to SIECUS trained teachers? The latest reason is that all teachers trained by SIECUS are pornographically desensitized, according to the leadership of SIECUS.

Now, those pornographically coarsened teachers are ready to wholly transfer their sexual lives to all our children via "Sexually Explicit Materials," (we called this "obscenity" prior to the Kinsey 1948 revolution). Formed out of the bowels of Indiana University's infamous Kinsey Institute, SIECUS follows in the footsteps of their founder, who filmed his friends and colleagues in sex acts in the attic of his home for his book *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male* (1948). After roughly 25 years of Sexual Attitude Restructuring training at sex education institutions nationwide, SIECUS' "Position Statements on Sexuality Issues, 1995" *institutionally* calls for the nation's children to be force-fed the same pornography which wholly desensitized (restructured) SIECUS trained educators. Here is the latest:

When sensitively used in a manner appropriate to the viewer's age and developmental level, sexually explicit visual, printed, or on-line materials can be valuable educational or personal aids, helping to reduce ignorance and confusion and contributing to a wholesome concept of sexuality.

Would most Americans want SIECUS trained teachers to give their children grades on how well they mastered homosexual and heterosexual sodomy, group copulations, bestiality, "consensual" sadism (S&M) and the like, in print, video and on-line? Would these films be shown in the auditorium with all classes present or in small, work groups? What kind of practice has SIECUS designed, what homework assignments are written up? SIECUS argues that while children need to see pornography, they should not (yet) appear in it. Why not? SIECUS' "Position Statements" restrict children (under what age???) from doing commercial pornography. Does this mean a 16-year-old or an 18-year-old? Why not give them the right, the choice to record what they are practicing, for a small profit? At what ages would SIECUS give pornography to our children as part of their classroom curricula? How will SIECUS teachers grade children's mastery of the various sodomies and other acts shown? And, *who are these SIECUS teachers now in charge of our children's sexual education?*

CWA is an "extremist organization?" CWA "advocates or resorts to measures beyond the norm" Average Americans advocate pornography in the classroom to reduce teenage pregnancy, venereal disease, suicide, rape, homicide, and the like?

What kind of sexually explicit practices has SIECUS designed? Like math and history, what homework assignments are planned? At what ages would SIECUS give pornography to our children as part of their classroom curricula? How will SIECUS teachers grade children's mastery of the various sodomies and other acts shown? And, *who are these SIECUS teachers now in charge of our children's sexual education?*

CWA is an "extremist organization?" Who "advocates or resorts to measures beyond the norm" here, CWA or SIECUS? Do normal Americans advocate pornography in the classroom, do they think giving children pornography will reduce teenage pregnancy, venereal disease, suicide, juvenile rape, homicide, and the like?

Congress is currently debating banning "sexually explicit materials" from the military base exchanges due to their injurious effects on service personnel and their families. The very liberal Canadian Supreme Court unanimously ruled in 1992 that due to its pattern of subjugation, degradation and dehumanization all pornography makes women "unequal" and hence should be banned from Canada. The liberal Australian Parliament, recognizing its harm, banned all "R" and "X" Rated Materials from pay Cable TV. Do to harm, Germany has tried to ban sexually explicit materials from its internet access channels.

Most child protection agencies decry television effects on children. Even SIECUS claims to want to reduce AIDS. Withal, SIECUS *would mandate children's use of pornography in the classroom* and at home, under the watchful eye of pornographically trained adults. Who wants these teachers to eroticize classrooms by showing children pornography? Who wants children eroticized by pornography at home? Incest and pedophilia often follow upon adults showing children pornography and the law has long recognized that fact.

Just as it is well publicized that too many men enter the priesthood to have sexual access to children, too many men enter sex education to have sexual access to children. "Mr. Jones" cannot legally show children pornography unless he claims to be doing it for educational purposes and if he wants sex with children he commonly gives them pornography. Pedophiles call pornography "sex education." Thanks to SIECUS, pedophiles nationwide can screen pornographic films and delight as their students recoil in aroused states of embarrassment, trauma, shock, lust and nausea. Statistically, in a group of school children perhaps 25% of girls and 17% of boys have already been sexually abused. Such a population offers numbers of fatherless and other vulnerable children from which pedophile educators can select the weakest.

Mr. Jones will have easy access to boys, Miss Jones will have easy access to girls. A rather prominent academician arrested recently was Nobel Prize Winner, Carlton Gajdusck, accused of abusing dozens of young boys over many decades. In the 1980s Dr. Richard Berendzen, past president of American University was convicted of pedophile associated sex offenses and Dr. Elliot McGinnies was convicted of sexual abuse of a 9-year-old girl in his trailer at a nudist trailer park. Pornography is always part of the pedophile's modus operandi. If SIECUS does not know this they are incompetent and should be immediately shut down. If they do know this, SIECUS represents the most noxious extremist group in our nation's history.

Sincerely,

Judith Reisman, Ph.D.